

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,114	12/29/1999	KARL W HAIDER	MO-5457/MD-9	1888
	90 12/13/2002			A ITTR
BAYER CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 BAYER ROAD			SERGENT, RABON A	
			1711	20
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/474,114

Applicant(s)

Haider et al.

Examiner

Rabon Sergent

Art Unit 1711

Period for Reply A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREthreeMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Creations of the more be evided when the previoused 37 CFR 1.138 (s), in no event, however, may a rappy be storely filed after SIX (s) MONTHIS from the received are the previoused and the more handless of the provision of 37 CFR 1.138 (s), in no event, however, may a rappy be storely filed after SIX (s) MONTHIS from the received in the point of the point of the provision of 37 CFR 1.138 (s), in no event, however, may a rappy be storely filed after SIX (s) MONTHIS from the received in the provision of 37 CFR 1.138 (s), in no event, however, may a rappy be storely filed after SIX (s) MONTHIS from the received and the communication of the provision of the pro			J				
A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of time with pervisible and some through the provision of 3 CFR 1.13 (d). In no event, however, may a reply be trimely field after \$X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for with specified above a less than him; (90) days, a reply within the strinder, reply reply and the communication. - Failer to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stitulus, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (18 U.S.C. § 113). - Failer to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stitulus, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (18 U.S.C. § 113). - Failer to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stitulus, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (18 U.S.C. § 113). - Responsive to communication(s) filled on OCI 3, 2002 - This action is FINAL. - 2b\X This action is non-final. - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims - 4) Claim(s) - 1-14 - 4a) Of the above, claim(s)							
# the period for ripsly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered managed to a communication. Fabric to ripsly within the sit of extended period for ripsly will, by statute, cases the application to become ABA-PIONED (55 ti.S.C. \$ 133). Fabric to ripsly within the sit of extended period for ripsly will, by statute, cases the application to become ABA-PIONED (55 ti.S.C. \$ 133). Fabric to ripsly within the sit of extended period for ripsly will, by statute, cases the application to become ABA-PIONED (55 ti.S.C. \$ 133). Fabric to ripsly within the sit of extended period for ripsly will, by statute, cases the application to become ABA-PIONED (55 ti.S.C. \$ 133). Fabric to ripsly specified to the communication of the communication, even if the communication, even if the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. Fabric to ripsly application is in condition of ripsly will be considered any even if the communication of the communication. Fabric to ripsly specified to the managed and ripsly specified to the communication. Fabric to ripsly specified to ripsly specified to by the Examiner. Find drawing(s) filled on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-14	 If the pe If NO pe Failure t Any rep 	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	ig date of this communication. i.C. § 133),			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14	Status						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 3, 20	002	·			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) \(\text{Claim(s)} \) \(\text{Laim(s)}	•						
All Discord Fortified copies of the priority documents have been received. All Claim(s) Islane withdrawn from consideration. Signare withdrawn from consideration. Islane withdrawn from consideration is decided to with the Examiner. Islane withdrawn from consideration is objected to by the Examiner. Islane withdrawn from consideration is decided on Islane withdrawn from consideration. Islane withdrawn from consideration Islane withdrawn from consideration. Islane withdrawn from consideration Islane withdrawn from consideration. Islane withdrawn from the promity documents have been received. Islane withdrawn from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Islane withdrawn from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Islane withdrawn from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Actachment(s) Islane withdrawn from withdrawn from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Islane withdrawn from the International Bureau (PCT-131) Paper Note). Islane withdrawn from the International Bureau (PTO-141) Paper Mole). Islane withdrawn from the International Bureau							
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5]	Dispositi	on of Claims					
Side Claim(s) Side Sid	4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are	pending in the application.			
Solid Claim(s) 1-14	48	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>		is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9)	7) 🗆 (Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.			
The drawing(s) filled on	Applicat	ion Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13] Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(a) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) accepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13] Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 41 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note). 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13] Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 11 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 53 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13] Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nois). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nois). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) 🗌	All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nois). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nois). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application N	lo			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nois). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				-)			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				ej.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s)			
			6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/474,114 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 3, 2002 has been entered.

- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have not provided support for claiming that the aliphatic or cycloaliphatic diisocyanates are non-crystalline and that the diol chain extender is symmetric.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

 Applicants have provided no guidance for determining the crystallinity of the diisocyanates.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The subject matter of claim 8 fails to further limit claim 1, because claim 8 recites chain extenders that are not symmetric.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/474,114

Art Unit: 1711

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yokelson et al. ('543).

Patentees disclose the production of hydrophobic polyurethanes derived from the reaction of difunctional polybutadienes, having molecular weights which overlap applicants' diols, with diisocyanates, such as isophorone diisocyanate and dicyclohexylmethane diisocyanate, and diol chain extenders. Patentees further disclose that prepolymer techniques may be employed to produce the polymer. Therefore, applicants' prepolymer isocyanate content is considered to be

Application/Control Number: 09/474,114 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

inherently met by the reference. See abstract; column 2, lines 45+; column 3; and column 5, lines 1-16.

- 7. In addition to the (cyclo)aliphatic diisocyanates, patentees disclose the use of aromatic diisocyanates to produce the polyurethanes. If the reference is determined to not be anticipatory, in view of this additional disclosure, the position is taken that one of ordinary skill in the art seeking light stable polyurethanes would have been motivated to utilize the disclosed (cyclo)aliphatic diisocyanates, since it has long been known that polyurethanes derived from nonaromatic diisocyanates possess superior light stability properties, as compared to polymers derived from aromatic isocyanates.
- 8. Applicants' arguments have been considered; however, they are insufficient to withdraw the rejection. Firstly, applicants have in no way established that the disclosed (cyclo)aliphatic diisocyanates are not non-crystalline. It is noted that the disclosed (cyclo)aliphatic diisocyanates are specifically recited within applicants' claims. Similarly, patentees specifically rely on the use of chain extenders that are symmetric. Secondly, applicants' arguments with respect to the expense of aliphatic isocyanates are irrelevant with respect to the anticipation rejection and fail to adequately rebut the *prima facie* case of obviousness, wherein a reasonable motivation has been provided for selecting the (cyclo)aliphatic diisocyanates. Lastly, applicants' argument with respect to the composition being compressed within the mold is without merit. Applicants' claim language is open to the inclusion of such treatments.

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Taylor et al. 9.

('166).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the

earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132

that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this

application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR

1.131.

Applicants' arguments concerning non-crystallinity fail to address the fact that patentees 10.

disclose the use of specific diisocyanates which are the same as claimed by applicants. It is logical

to conclude that these identical diisocyanates must also be non-crystalline.

The prior art rejection in view of Haider et al. ('324) has been withdrawn in view of 11.

applicants' amendments and arguments concerning the symmetry of the chain extender; however, it

is noted that claim 8 recites asymmetric chain extenders. If the subject matter of claim 8 is not

amended to conform with the requirements of the independent claim, the art rejection will be

reinstated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

December 9, 2002